



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,530	03/18/2005	Shinsuke Inoue	17195/002001	8299
22511	7590	08/25/2009	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. TWO HOUSTON CENTER 909 FANNIN, SUITE 3500 HOUSTON, TX 77010			TRUONG, DUC	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1796			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
08/25/2009	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com
buta@oshaliang.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/528,530	Applicant(s) INOUE ET AL.
	Examiner Duc Truong	Art Unit 1796

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: NONE

Claim(s) objected to: NONE

Claim(s) rejected: 9,11-13 and 15-20

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/Duc Truong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Claims 9 and related claims are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is maintained, because the specification does reasonably provide enablement for at least 1 mole% (see page 11, line 6) instead of at least 30 mole%, as in the instant claims. the Declaration has supported for Examiner's arguments, since no unexpected results between the Ex. 1 and 2 (0mole% compared to 10 mole% in that the solvent solubility is 0.2 compared to 0.29). Further, the Declaration discloses the most unexpected results upon the use of 30 mole%, and 50 mole%, 1.0 compared to 1.4. However, it does not explain why the solvent solubility has been decreased while the molar ratio of 3-ODPA goes up to 100 mole%, down to 1.3, this is consistent with the teachings of the references that 3-ODPA as an optional component and is not required because there is no unexpected results between Ex. 1 and 2 in the Declaration. Since the claims have been amended to include a limitation "at least 30 mole% of the acid component of the claimed formula (I) then the 102 rejection is replaced by 103 rejection in that the differences are the references do not disclose said molar ratio. However, at least JP-2001-323062 does disclose that said dianhydride as an optional component, is not required or in small amount which does not affect the claimed characteristics such as the solvent solubility. therefore, to modify said molar ratios in the references within the limitation of the instant claims to get the product having the claimed properties is the level of ordinary skill in the art and would have been obvious in the absence of a showing of unexpected results derived from said modification.

Claims 9,11-13 and 15-20 are rejected under 103 @ as being unpatentable over 136: 7165 or 136:7164 or 136:7161 or 136:7160 or 136:7159, for the reasons as stated in the last office action and for the reasons, as stated above.